



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket No. ROH-026

re patent application of

Shigeyuki UEDA

Serial No. 09/665,663

Group Art Unit: 2823

Filed: September 20, 2000

Examiner: W. COLEMAN

Title: SEMICONDUCTOR CHIP AND METHOD OF PRODUCING THE SAME

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Submitted herewith on Form PTO-1449 is a listing of documents known to Applicant in order to comply with Applicant's duty of disclosure pursuant to 37 CFR 1.56.

- ☒ A copy of each listed document is being submitted to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- ☐ As provided in 37 CFR §1.98(d), copies of the documents are not being provided since they were previously cited by or submitted to the Patent Office in parent application Serial No. _____, filed _____ of 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

The submission of any document herewith, which is not a statutory bar, is not intended as an admission that such document constitutes prior art against the claims of the present application or that such document is considered material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56(b). Applicant does not waive any rights to take any action which would be appropriate to antedate or otherwise remove as a competent reference any document which is determined to be a *prima facie* prior art reference against the claims of the present application.

Concise Explanation of Relevancy of the Document

(Fill out if no English translation, partial translation or English abstract is available)

- ☒ Any document having neither English translation nor English abstract has been cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or its related application, a copy of which is attached hereto, accompanied by English translation of at least relevant part, if it is not

RECEIVED
MAY - 8 2002
2800 MAIL ROOM

6-4-02 R3

in English. English translation of the document is not readily available; however, the absence of such translations does not relieve the PTO from its duty to consider the submitted document (37 C.F.R. §1.98 and MPEP §609).

☐ The relevance of a document having no English translation or abstract is explained in the parent application above.

☐ _____

☒ 4. This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date AND after the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits, but before the mailing date of a final action under 37 CFR §1.113, a notice of allowance under 37 CFR §1.311 or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application. No fee is required in view of the statement below (37 C.F.R. §1.97(c)).

☒ a. I hereby certify that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 CFR §1.97(e)(1).

☐ b. I hereby certify that no item of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR §1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 CFR §1.97(e)(2).

If, however, a final action under 37 CFR §1.113, a notice of allowance under 37 CFR §1.311 or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application has been mailed prior to filing of this Information Disclosure Statement (37 C.F.R. §1.97(d)). The Patent Office is authorized to charge \$180.00 under 37 CFR §§ 1.97(d)(2) and 1.17(p) in view of the statement above under 37 CFR §1.97(e).

Respectfully submitted,



David K. Benson

Registration No. 42,314

Date: 29 APR 2002

Rader, Fishman & Grauer PLLC
1233 20th Street, N.W.,
Suite 501
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 955-3750
Fax: (202) 955-3751